

**32/1/2019-DSRD/DRIP-2/Cons.**  
**Central Water Commission**  
**Central Project Mangement Unit**  
**Dam Rehabilitation and Improvement**  
**Phase II and Phase III**

**Date: December 7, 2020**

A Request for Expression of Interest (REOI) for Hiring of Engineering and Management Consultancy Services for CPMU under DRIP Phase II and Phase III was published on May 22, 2020. Two Corrigenda's were also published on July 20, 2020 and August 17, 2020. The Central Water Commission (CWC) received 12 EOI proposals on the opening date i.e. August 19, 2020.

2. Based on the Section II, Short listing Criteria of REOI and as amended vide above two Corrigendum's, CWC has evaluated and short listed five proposals for issuance of Request for Proposal(RFP). The detail of these Short Listed Agencies is given in Annexure-I.

3. However, seven Agencies didn't meet one or more than one Short Listing Criteria, hence these Agencies have not been Short Listed. Detail of these Agencies along with brief detail about not meeting Short Listing Criteria is given in Annexure-II.

-sd-

(Gulshan Raj)

Project Director, DRIP Phase II and Phase III

## Annexure-I

<i>Sl. No.</i>	<i>Name of Consultant/Firm/JV</i>	<i>Country</i>
1.	AECOM Technical Services, Inc. (ATS), in Joint Venture with AECOM India Private Limited (AIPL)	USA and India
2.	AFRY Switzerland Ltd	Switzerland
3.	Ayesa Ingenieria y Arquitectua S A U (AYESA) in JV with DOHWA ENGINEERING CO.,LTD and INTEGRAL GROUP S.A	Spain, South Korea and Colombia
4.	Hatch Ltd in JV with Entura and Norconsult AS	Canada, Australia and Norway
5.	SMEC International Pty.Ltd (SMEC) in JV with Stucky Ltd and SMEC India Pvt Ltd	Australia, Switzerland and India

**Annexure-II**

<i>Sl. No.</i>	Name of Consultant/Firm/JV	Reason(s) for not Short Listing
1.	M/s TRACTEBEL ENGINEERING S.A., in JV with TRACTEBEL Engineering GmbH Germany, TRACTEBEL Engineering Pvt. Ltd., India and EGIS EAU, France	As per Short listing Criteria(SLC), maximum three partners are allowed in JV, whereas proposal has 4 partners.
2.	M/s Lombardi Engineering Limited, Switzerland in JV with Aarvee Associates Architects Engineers & Consultants Pvt. Ltd., India	<p>M/s Lombardi fails to meet the Clause (1), SLC of REOI. Out of six eligible Projects, Contract Agreements of 5 Projects were not in English, which is in violation of Clause (6) of the Instruction for Submission of EOI. It is also informed that the Completion Certificate in respect of the other one Project has not been submitted. Both these documents viz relevant pages of Contract Agreement and Completion Certificate were mandatory for considering the Project for evaluation.</p> <p>M/s Aarvee Associates Architects Engineers &amp; Consultants Pvt. Ltd also fails to meet the Clause (ii), SLC of REOI. The firm's past experience in preparation of Detailed Project Report/Feasibility Studies does not qualify the requisite experience under any of the category mention in Section I of REOI.</p>
3.	M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd., Singapore	Firm fails to substantiate past experience in three categories out of the listed six categories under Section I of the REOI.
4.	M/s Nippon KOEI Co. Ltd., Japan, in JV with M/s Nippon Koei India Pvt. Ltd. and SWECO International AB, Sweden	<p>M/s Nippon Koei India Pvt. Ltd. have failed to submit the required minimum 3 (three) core experts as per clause (viii) of Short Listing Criteria under Section-II of the published REOI documents.</p> <p>Also, each of proposed JV partner does not fulfill the referred</p>

		experience criteria under Clause 6 of Section-I of published REOI pertaining to the required experience of engineering and management consultancy as the submitted EoI proposal does not give detail of minimum experience in any one category out of six categories
5.	M/s SU-YAPI Engineering & Consulting Inc., Turkey in JV with M/s Turkish Engineering Consulting & Contracting –TUMAS, India	<p>The Experience of Lead partner in respect of consultancy services is for Weir instead of Dam as required under the published REOI documents along with the fact that the Scope of Services under experience of the Lead partner does not include the minimum three categories. Therefore, the firm fails to meet the Clause (ii) of the SLC.</p> <p>Also the date of incorporation/establishment of M/s Turkish Engineering Consulting &amp; Contracting –TUMAS, India is in the year of 2018. The firm fails to meet clause (vi) of SLC.</p>
6.	M/s Tata Consulting Engineers Ltd. (TCEL) in JV with Royal Haskoning DHV, Netherlands B.V. and M/s Electricité De France	M/s Tata Consulting Engineers Ltd meets the SLC. M/s Royal Haskoning DHV, Netherlands B.V has not submitted the copy of relevant pages of Contract Agreement for the eligible Project as required under the published REOI, hence fails to meet Clause (ii) of SLC. In respect of M/s Electricité De France claimed experience in six projects, but none of the project qualify for any activity mentioned under Clause (6), Section I of the REOI.
7.	M/s Mahab Ghodss Consulting Engineering Company, Iran	The firm could not substantiate its past experience in three categories. The documents submitted meets the experience only in two categories and that too partial experience instead of being substantial experience.